Soluble field theory with a massless gauge invariant limit

C. R. Hagen*
Department of Physics and Astronomy
University of Rochester
Rochester, N.Y. 14627

It is shown that there exists a soluble four parameter model in (1+1) dimensions all of whose propagators can be determined in terms of the corresponding known propagators of the vector coupling theory. Unlike the latter case, however, the limit of zero bare mass is nonsingular and yields a nontrivial theory with a rigorously unbroken gauge invariance.

PACS number(s): 11.10Kk

arXiv:hep-th/9909217v1 29 Sep 1999

Since quantum field theories in (3+1) dimensions have not been amenable to exact calculation in any but the most trivial cases, the study of the soluble field theories in (1+1) dimensions has been one of considerable interest. It originated over forty years ago with Thirring's observation [1] that a current-current interaction should be exactly soluble in such a space inasmuch as the current operator must have both vanishing divergence and curl. A solution of the model was given by Johnson [2] who realized that an essential ingredient had to be a very precise definition of the current operator. He adopted an approach in which the current is realized as an average of spacelike and timelike limits of the product of two field operators. Such an averaging process allowed a covariant result to be obtained, but introduced the somewhat undesirable feature of a timelike limit which does not fit comfortably into a Cauchy initial value formulation.

The most general solution of the Thirring model was obtained by the author [3] using an extension of Schwinger's gauge invariant definition of the current j^μ. Specifically, one writes, in the case of a charged fermion $\psi(x)$ coupled to an external field $A_\mu(x)$[4]

$$j^\mu(x) = \lim_{\mathbf{x}\to\mathbf{x}'} \frac{1}{2}\psi(x)q\alpha^\mu \\ exp[iq\int_{x'}^{x} dx''_\nu(\xi A^\nu - \eta\gamma_5\epsilon^{\nu\alpha}A_\alpha)]\psi(x') \tag{1}$$

where the Dirac matrices α^0 and $\alpha^1 = \gamma_5$ are conveniently taken to be the unit matrix and the Pauli matrix σ_3, respectively, and the limit is taken from a spacelike direction. Although the parameters ξ and η are required by Lorentz invariance to satisfy the constraint

$$\xi + \eta = 1,$$

it is desirable for symmetry reasons to retain both parameters in the general formulation. Worth noting is that $\xi = 1(\eta = 1)$ corresponds to vector (axial-vector) conservation while the choice $\xi = \eta = \frac{1}{2}$ turns out to be equivalent to the Johnson solution.

Among the most well known of the two-dimensional models is the Schwinger model [5] which is simply QED for a massless fermion. Its solution finally put to rest the widely held view that gauge invariance necessarily required a massless photon. The extension of the Schwinger model to the massive vector meson case was carried out by Sommerfield [6], Brown [7], and the author [8] for the cases $\xi = \frac{1}{2}$, $\xi = 1$, and arbitrary ξ respectively.

A model in which only a single component of the fermion field was coupled via the current operator to a massive vector meson was subsequently proposed and solved by the author [9]. This single component model has the same Green's functions as one which was subsequently proposed by Jackiw and Rajaraman [10]. The latter formulation has been referred to as the chiral Schwinger model, a somewhat unfortunate description inasmuch as the propagator equations of motion are inconsistent with zero bare mass for the photon [11].

The final extension of the model to date was accomplished with the proposal [12] that the model be generalized to include arbitrary admixture of vector and axial vector couplings. This was subsequently solved [13] with the definition (1) generalized to

$$j^\mu = \lim_{\mathbf{x}\to\mathbf{x}'} \frac{1}{2}\psi(x)q\alpha^\mu(1 + r\gamma_5) \\ exp[iq\int_{x'}^{x} dx''_\nu(\xi A^\nu - \eta\gamma_5\epsilon^{\nu\alpha}A_\alpha \\ +r\eta\gamma_5 A^\nu - r\xi\epsilon^{\nu\alpha}A_\alpha)]\psi(x') \tag{2}$$

where er is the axial-vector coupling constant. Using (2) in conjunction with the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= \frac{i}{2}\psi\alpha^\mu\partial_\mu\psi + \frac{1}{4}G^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}G^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\mu_0^2 B^\mu B_\mu + ej^\mu B_\mu + j^\mu A_\mu + J^\mu B_\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

functional derivatives with respect to the external sources A_μ and J_μ can be used to obtain a complete solution. Worth noting is the fact that this model has four parameters two of which (namely, e and μ_0) appear explicitly in the Lagrangian while two more (ξ and r) occur only in the definition of the current operator (2).

Perhaps the most striking feature of the solution is the mass spectrum of the model. In addition to a massless mode there is a renormalization of the mass μ_0 which is given by

$$\mu^2 = \mu_0^2 \frac{[1 + (\xi e^2/\pi\mu_0^2)(1 - r^2)][1 - (\eta e^2/\pi\mu_0^2)(1 - r^2)]}{1 - (e^2/\pi\mu_0^2)(\xi r^2 + \eta),} \tag{4}$$

in agreement with results obtained in refs.[1-3,5-11] for special cases of the four parameters of the model. Of particular interest is the case $r^2 = 1$ considered in [9] and [10] for which the physical mass reduces to

$$\mu^2 = \mu_0^2 \left[1 - \frac{e^2}{\pi\mu_0^2}\right]^{-1}.$$

This result clearly precludes one of the most interesting possible limits, namely the case $\mu_0 = 0$ (i.e., a chiral theory with gauge invariance). While the very different calculational approach of [10] argues for the possibility of that limit, it concludes with the essentially equivalent result that gauge invariance is necessarily broken as a consequence of an anomaly. In this work a new model is proposed which, like that of [13], is a four parameter one, but which allows the chiral $r^2 = 1$, $\mu_0 = 0$ limit without either destroying gauge invariance or requiring tachyonic modes.

One begins with the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_S &= \frac{i}{2}\psi\alpha^\mu\partial_\mu\psi + \frac{1}{2}\varphi^\mu\varphi_\mu + \varphi^\mu\partial_\mu\varphi \\ &-\frac{1}{2}\mu_0^2\varphi^2 + gj^\mu\varphi_\mu + j^\mu\mathcal{A}_\mu + \mathcal{J}^\mu\varphi_\mu \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

which for $g = 0$ and vanishing sources $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ and $\mathcal{J}_\mu$ is recognized to be the Lagrangian of a massless fermion coupled to a scalar field of mass μ_0. The equations of motion have the form

$$\alpha^\mu(\frac{1}{i}\partial_\mu - gq\varphi_\mu - q\mathcal{A}_\mu)\psi = 0$$

$$\varphi_\mu = -\partial_\mu\varphi - gj_\mu - \mathcal{J}_\mu$$

$$\partial_\mu\varphi^\mu + \mu_0^2\varphi = 0$$

while the nonvanishing equal time commutators are

$$[\varphi^0(x), \varphi(x')] = -i\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')$$

and

$$\{\psi(x), \psi(x')\} = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}').$$

To display the close connnection between (3) and (5) the former is rewritten as $\mathcal{L}_V$ which is then expressed in terms of new fields ϕ and ϕ^μ according to

$$G^{\mu\nu} = \mu_0\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\phi$$

$$B^\mu = \frac{1}{\mu_0}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\phi_\nu$$

thereby yielding

$$\mathcal{L}_V = \frac{i}{2}\psi\alpha^\mu\partial_\mu\psi + \frac{1}{2}\phi^\mu\phi_\mu + \phi^\mu\partial_\mu\phi$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}\mu_0^2\phi^2 + \frac{e}{\mu_0}j_\mu\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\phi_\nu + j^\mu A_\mu + \frac{1}{\mu_0}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}J_\mu\phi_\nu.$$

Upon using the identity

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\alpha_\nu(1+r\gamma_5) = r\alpha^\mu(1+\frac{1}{r}\gamma_5)$$

and the fact that the exponential which appears in the definition (2) of the current operator is invariant under the simultaneous replacements $r \to r^{-1}$ and $A^\mu \to -r\epsilon^{\mu\nu}A_\nu$, one infers that

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu}j_\nu(r, A^\mu) = rj^\mu(\frac{1}{r}, -r\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}A_\beta).$$

This allows $\mathcal{L}_V$ to be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}_V = \frac{i}{2}\psi\alpha^\mu\partial_\mu\psi + \frac{1}{2}\phi^\mu\phi_\mu + \phi^\mu\partial_\mu\phi - \frac{1}{2}\mu_0^2\phi^2$$
$$-\frac{er}{\mu_0}j^\mu(\frac{1}{r}, -r\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}A_\beta)\phi_\mu - j^\mu(\frac{1}{r}, -r\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}A_\beta)r\epsilon_{\mu\nu}A^\nu$$
$$+\frac{1}{\mu_0}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}J_\mu\phi_\nu$$

thereby establishing a complete equivalence between the two models. Specifically, the vacuum-to-vacuum transition amplitudes $\langle 0\sigma_1|0\sigma_2\rangle^{S,V}$ are seen to satisfy the relationship

$$\langle 0\sigma_1|0\sigma_2\rangle^{S,g,r}(\mathcal{A}^\mu, \mathcal{J}^\mu) =$$
$$\langle 0\sigma_1|0\sigma_2\rangle^{V,e=-gr\mu_0,\frac{1}{r}}(-r\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{A}_\nu, -\mu_0\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{J}_\nu). \tag{6}$$

Corresponding results for the $2n$-point fermion propagators $G^{S,g,r}(x_1, ...x_{2n}; \mathcal{A}^\mu, \mathcal{J}^\mu)$ can be written in the form

$$G^{S,g,r}(x_1, ...x_{2n}; \mathcal{A}^\mu, \mathcal{J}^\mu)$$
$$= G^{V,e=-gr\mu_0,\frac{1}{r}}(x_1, ...x_{2n}; -r\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{A}_\nu, -\mu_0\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{J}_\nu). \tag{7}$$

Clearly Eq.(6) allows a calculation of the nonvanishing bosonic mass in the model by letting $r \to \frac{1}{r}$ and $e \to -gr\mu_0$ in (4). The result is

$$\mu^2 = \mu_0^2\frac{[1-(\xi g^2/\pi)(1-r^2)][1+(\eta g^2/\pi)(1-r^2)]}{1-(g^2/\pi)(\xi+\eta r^2)}, \tag{8}$$

thereby clearly displaying the nonsingularity of the $\mu_0 \to 0$ limit [14]. It should be noted that all of the usual limits which can be taken in the model described by $\mathcal{L}_V$ have their counterparts in the $\mathcal{L}_S$ theory. Thus, for example, a three parameter Thirring model is obtainable in the $\mu_0 \to \infty$ limit with the more usual two parameter model emerging in the subsequent limits $r = 0$ and $r \to \infty, gr$ finite.

To illustrate how the calculation of matrix elements is to be carried out one can simply note that the current correlation function $D_S^{\mu\nu}(x-x')$ for example is given by

$$D_S^{\mu\nu}(x-x') = -i\frac{\delta^2}{\delta\mathcal{A}_\mu(x)\delta\mathcal{A}_\nu(x')}ln\langle 0\sigma_1|0\sigma_2\rangle^S|_{\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{J}=0}$$

which by (6) reduces to

$$D_S^{\mu\nu}(p) = r^2\epsilon^{\mu\alpha}\epsilon^{\nu\beta}D_{\alpha\beta}^V(p)|_{e=-gr\mu_0}^{1/r}.$$

This readily yields from [13] the result

$$D_S^{\mu\nu}(p) = (g^{\mu\alpha} + r\epsilon^{\mu\alpha})(g^{\nu\beta} + r\epsilon^{\nu\beta})\,[D_1(p)\epsilon_{\alpha\sigma}p^\sigma\epsilon_{\beta\tau}p^\tau + D_2(p)p_\alpha p_\beta + D_3(p)(p_\alpha\epsilon_{\beta\sigma}p^\sigma + p_\beta\epsilon_{\alpha\sigma}p^\sigma)]$$

where

$$D_1(p) = \frac{\xi}{\pi}\frac{1}{1-\frac{\xi g^2}{\pi}(1-r^2)}\Bigg[D(p) + \frac{g^2\xi r^2}{\pi}\frac{1+\frac{\eta g^2}{\pi}(1-r^2)}{1-\frac{g^2}{\pi}(\xi+\eta r^2)}\Delta(p)\Bigg]$$

$$D_2(p) = \frac{\eta}{\pi}\frac{1}{1+\frac{\eta g^2}{\pi}(1-r^2)}\Bigg[D(p) + \frac{g^2\eta}{\pi}\frac{1-\frac{g^2\xi}{\pi}(1-r^2)}{1-\frac{g^2}{\pi}(\xi+\eta r^2)}\Delta(p)\Bigg]$$

$$D_3(p) = -\frac{g^2\xi\eta r}{\pi}\frac{1}{1-\frac{g^2}{\pi}(\xi+\eta r^2)}\Delta(p)$$

with $D(p) = 1/p^2$, $\Delta(p) = 1/(p^2+\mu^2)$, and μ^2 given by Eq.(8).

Also of considerable interest are the propagators of the fields φ^μ and φ. The former is obtained from the corresponding result of the vector theory[13] according to

$$G_S^{\mu\nu}(p) = \mu_0^2\epsilon^{\mu\alpha}\epsilon^{\nu\beta}G^V_{\alpha\beta}(p)|^{1/r}_{e=-gr\mu_0}.$$

This yields

$$G_S^{\mu\nu}(p) = \frac{\mu_0^2}{\mu^2}[p^\mu p^\nu\Delta(p) - g^{\mu\nu}] + \frac{g^2}{\pi}\frac{1}{[1-\frac{\xi g^2}{\pi}(1-r^2)][1+\frac{\eta g^2}{\pi}(1-r^2)]} \Bigg\{ [-r(p^\mu\epsilon^{\mu\alpha}p_\alpha + p^\nu\epsilon^{\mu\alpha}p_\alpha) + (1+r^2)\epsilon^{\mu\alpha}p_\alpha\epsilon^{\nu\beta}p_\beta] (D-\Delta) + \epsilon^{\mu\alpha}p_\alpha\epsilon^{\nu\beta}p_\beta\frac{1+r^2-\frac{g^2}{\pi}(\xi+\eta r^4)}{1-\frac{g^2}{\pi}(\xi+\eta r^2)}\Delta\Bigg\}.$$

Upon using the equations of motion and the commutation relations [15] in the case $\mu_0 \neq 0$ the φ propagator is then found to be

$$G_S(p) = \frac{1}{\mu_0^2} + p_\mu p_\nu G_S^{\mu\nu}(p),$$

which readily reduces to

$$G_S(p) = \frac{\mu^2}{\mu_0^2}\Delta(p).$$

Thus the φ propagator is seen to differ from that of the free theory merely by wave function and mass renormalizations.

Since the propagators of the model are all finite in the limit of vanishing bare mass, the solution of the $\mu = 0$ case can be obtained merely by taking this limit. In some respects this is the analogue of the Schwinger model but differs in that by Eq.(8) the physical mass is zero. A further point of difference is that in the vector theory there is consistency only for the cases $r = \eta = 0$ (the usual Schwinger model) and $r \to \infty, er$ finite, $\xi = 0$ (the axial Schwinger model). In

the theory presented here no restrictions need to be placed on the parameters g, r, and ξ and the propagators reduce to the form

$$G_S^{\mu\nu}(p) = \frac{1}{1-\frac{g^2}{\pi}(\xi+\eta r^2)}(p^\mu p^\nu - p^2 g^{\mu\nu})D(p)$$

and

$$G_S(p) = \frac{[1-(\xi g^2/\pi)(1-r^2)][1+(\eta g^2/\pi)(1-r^2)]}{1-(g^2/\pi)(\xi+\eta r^2)}D(p).$$

This is clearly a massless gauge theory which has the property of being invariant under the gauge transformation

$$\varphi \to \varphi + \Phi_0$$

where Φ_0 is a constant[16]. The theory thus supports the broken symmetry condition[17]

$$\langle 0|\varphi(x)|0\rangle = \Phi_0,$$

in stark contrast to the model considered in [9] and [10]. It should also be noted that there is no difficulty in passing to the chiral limit $r^2 = 1$ with the particularly simple results

$$G_S^{\mu\nu}(p) = \frac{1}{1-(g^2/\pi)}(p^\mu p^\nu - p^2 g^{\mu\nu})D(p)$$

and

$$G_S(p) = \frac{1}{1-(g^2/\pi)}D(p).$$

The fermionic two point function for this massless chiral theory is readily seen from Eq.(7) to be

$$\begin{aligned} &G^{S,g,r}(x-x';\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{J}=0) \\ &= exp\{-ig^2\frac{1}{1-(g^2/\pi)}(1\pm\gamma_5)^2[D(x-x')-D(0)]\}. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion it should be remarked that the model presented here has the advantage of being fully soluble and contains a wealth of submodels obtainable by taking appropriate limits in the relevant parameter space. In contrast to the case of the related vector models where the limit of vanishing bare mass and chiral currents leads to inconsistencies and/or breaking of the gauge symmetry, no such problems are encountered in the theory presented here. This leads one to hope that it may well prove to be a more reliable theoretical laboratory than some of the alternative soluble two dimensional theories.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy Grant No.DE-FG02-91ER40685.

---

* Electronic address: hagen@pas.rochester.edu

[1] W. Thirring, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **3**, 91 (1958).
[2] K. Johnson, Nuovo Cimento **20**, 773 (1961).
[3] C. R. Hagen, Nuovo Cimento B **51**, 169 (1967).
[4] In order to simplify the results for the propagators one uses here a charge matrix

$$q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which acts in a two-dimensional charge space of the Hermitian field $\psi(x)$.

[5] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **128**, 2425 (1962).).
[6] C. Sommerfield, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **26**, 1 (1964).
[7] L. S. Brown, Nuovo Cimento **29**, 617 (1963).
[8] C. R. Hagen, Nuovo Cimento A **51**, 1033 (1967).
[9] C. R. Hagen, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **81**, 67 (1973).
[10] R. Jackiw and R. Rajaraman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 1219 (1985).
[11] C. R. Hagen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55** 2223 (1985).
[12] A. Bassetto, L. Griguolo, and P. Zanca, Phys. Rev. D**50**, 1077 (1994).
[13] C. R. Hagen, Phys. Rev. D**55**, 1021 (1997). It should be remarked here that the result quoted there for $M^{\mu\nu}(p)$ needs to be multiplied by a factor of μ_0^2.
[14] Equation (6) suggests that the dependence upon μ_0 is essentially trivial, serving only to set the mass scale. The complete solution of the model in fact confirms this observation (i.e., μ_0 serves no purpose in the model other than as a scale factor for the mass).
[15] The latter is required for the evaluation of a term which arises from the derivative of the time ordered product.
[16] This is an example of what is sometimes referred to as a naturally occurring broken symmetry. See, for example, the discussion by G. S. Guralnik, C. R. Hagen, and T. W. B. Kibble in R. L. Cool and R. E. Marshak, *Advances in Particle Physics* v. 2 (Interscience, New York, 1968).
[17] Such vacua can be constructed formally in terms of the $\Phi = 0$ vacuum by writing $|0\rangle_\Phi = exp[-i\int d\mathbf{x}\Phi\varphi^0(x)]|0\rangle_{\Phi=0}$.